Real time 2D urban flood forecasting: a case study July 2014

In recent years 2D flood models have been applied routinely in the UK, but not for real time forecasting, where fast and robust models are essential. Meanwhile several groups have developed 2D models designed to achieve shorter run times.

One strategy is to deploy fast Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) as parallel co-processors to speed up the model code.

This case study tested a GPU-based 2D model, JFlow+, in a real-time forecasting context for a flood event that happened on 28 June 2012, in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, North East England.

After this event, Newcastle University gathered photographic evidence about the depth of flood water. We looked at data from four city centre locations

Forecast and actual rainfall data

Four rainfall forecasts (produced at different lead times, see below) were tested, along with estimates of the actual rainfall from weather radar and from rain gauges.

Lead time (hours ahead of forecast outputs)

Time of forecast flood event



The research described here is based on a study completed by Marion Duprez as part of her MEng in Civil Engineering at Newcastle University. Marion's work was supported by her supervisor Dr Vedrana Kutija and I-Hsien Porter of JBA Consulting's Newcastle office. We are grateful to the Met Office, CEH and Environment Agency for access to data. JBA Trust project W13-5610

Page 1

Avenue

Civil Engineering & Geosciences NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE 4: Wingrove 2: Lovers Lane 1: Civic





Centre

3: The

Gate

JBA

trust

Real time 2D urban flood forecasting: a case study July 2014

Results are shown for each rainfall input and for two spatial model resolutions, 2m and 4m. Buildings were included in the DTM, and the rain gauge inputs were adjusted to represent drainage systems, both using the methods applied for the Environment Agency's national flood maps for surface water.

The radar data and forecast products did not include a drainage adjustment. Observations are shown as fuzzy data in view of uncertainties in photo interpretation.

1: Civic Centre 3: The Gate 2.0 1.5 Observation approx Observation approx 0.2m at 17:00 0.6m at 17:15 1.5 0.1 Depth (m) 0.5 Depth (m) 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 10:45 L2:45 14:45 16:45 18:45 20:45 22:45 12:45 14:45 16:45 20:45 22:45 10:45 18:45 Time (hh:mm) Time (hh:mm) 2: Lovers Lane 4: Wingrove Avenue 1.5 1.0 Observation approx Observation approx 0.8 0.5m at 16:24 0.2m at 18:00 Depth (m) 0.5 Page 1 Pro Ptl 0.2 0.0 0.0 12:45 L6:45 L4:45 22:45 20:45 22:45 L0:45 L0:45 L2:45 L4:45 L8:45 20:45 L6:45 Time (hh:mm) Time (hh:mm) -STEPS 4m (30 min) -NWP2m STEPS 2m (30 min) Rain gauges 2m Radar 2m – STEPS 4m (1hr) - - STEPS 2m (1hr) NWP4m Rain gauges 4m Radar 4m

···· STEPS 2m (2hr)

Model results at the observation points

The STEPS rainfall forecasts were slightly inaccurate spatially, leading to generally shallower and later flooding predictions.

Predictions based on NWP and radar were deeper than those based on rain gauge data, but this difference would be reduced if the rainfall forecasts were also adjusted to account for drainage.

At six hours ahead the NWP-based forecast could potentially indicate likely areas and approximate timing of flooding, although local terrain and drainage systems mean the modelled flood depths should not be treated as precise predictions.

Run times: Newcastle city centre 2D model (13km²)

Model grid	Number of cells	Computer time taken to simulate X hours of event time using desktop PC and consumer GPU			
cell size (m)		X = 3 hrs	X=6 hrs	X = 12 hrs	X = 24hrs
2	3,260,238	26 min	1h 1m	2h 18m	4h 44m
4	815,060	4 min	9 min	20 min	41 min

2D model run times are becoming comparable to operational requirements for early warnings as technology advances.

Page 2







JBA

trust